

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/553,669	04/20/2000	Dennis A. Brittain	08765-003001	6175
	7590 10/24/20	3	EXAM	INER
DENNIS A. BRITTAIN			VU, VIET DUY .	
11026 VIA T SAN DIEGO	<del>_</del>		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2154	,
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003	3 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

Application No. Applicant(s)

09/553,669

Examiner Viet Vu Art Unit 2154

**Brittain** 

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	-		
	for Reply				
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
mailing	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).  I date of this communication.				
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will ap, to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date I patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the a se the application to become ABANDONED (3	mailing date of this communication. 5 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/24/03	and 9/30/03			
2a) 💢	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This ac	tion is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>93-140</u>	is	a/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is	alare withdrawn from consideratio		
5)□	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>93-140</u>	·	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to res	triction and/or election requirement		
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	reaD accepted or bD objec	ted to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: all approved	$\mathtt{d} \hspace{0.1cm} f b \hspace{-0.1cm} f igcell$ disapproved by the Examine		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)└┘	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).		
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha				
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the</li> </ol>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n this National Stage		
14)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		(10)		
a) [	_				
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>					
Attachm		- p 30 010101 33 12			
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	r No(s)		
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	n (PTO-152)		
3) 🔲 Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. The supplemental amendment filed 9/30/03 stated to withdraw claims 1-92 and replace withdrawn claims with new claims 93-138. Since there were 94 claims pending before this amendment, pursuant rule 26, new claims 93-138 will be renumbered as claims 95-140 respectively.

Per conversation with applicant on October 15, 2003, claims 1-92 will be entered as canceled claims by the office so that applicant will not be incurred additional charge on those additional claims. Claims 93-140 are now pending including claims 93-94 that were filed on 7/24/03. Applicant is requested to cancel claims 1-94 and use correct claim numbers (95-140) in the next correspondence. Applicant is also reminded to use the term "cancel" or "canceled" in stead of "withdraw" or "withdrawn" for canceled claims in future correspondence in order to avoid the confusing over calculation of fee for examination claims.

## Objection to the specification:

2. The current title is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

# Art Rejections:

- 3. The text of 35 USC 103(a) not cited here can be found in the previous office action.
- 4. Claims 93-123 and 128-140 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sequeira, U.S. pat. No. 6,185,5585, in view of Mano et al, U.S. pat. No. 5,978,807.

Sequeira discloses a system and method for capturing and storing data from a network comprising:

- a) using a web browser for specifying target data addressable by a network address at a particular date and time (see Sequeira's col 6, lines 1-18),
- b) retrieving, extracting and processing specified target data at the particular date and time using user-specified parameters, i.e., types of data, number of nested levels, locations, etc., (see Sequeira's col 6, line 44-col 7, line 38 and col 8, lines 28-42),
  c) storing the target data (see Sequeira's col 6, lines 53-60).

Sequeira does not explicitly teach enabling users to specify dates and times for retrieving target data. Mano discloses a method for enabling users to specify input parameters including target data, dates and times via an interface for downloading target data automatically (see Mano's col 2, lines 38-56 and figure 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Mano's scheduling step in Sequeira for capturing internet content because it would have enabled capturing internet content without user interactions (see Mano's col 2, lines 38-56).

Per claim 122, the use of character recognition to convert image to text is well known in the art.

Per claims 128-129, it is also noted that the use of timeout to terminate nonresponsive communication is well known in the art.

Per claims 132-134 and 139, <u>Sequeira</u> teaches using pointing device (e.g. mouse) to graphically highlight/select target data (e.g., click on an embedded link) within the browser (<u>see col 6</u>, <u>lines 6-18</u>).

5. Claims 124-127 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Sequeira</u> and <u>Mano</u> and further in view of Sundaresan, U.S. pat. No. 6,487,566.

Sequeira does not teach specific technique to convert target data into another format for storing in the database. The use of various data conversion methods including tree/string matching is well known in the art as disclosed in <u>Sundaresan</u> (see Sundaresan's cols 3-4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize any known data capturing/conversion in <a href="Sequeira">Sequeira</a> to implement <a href="Sequeira">Sequeira</a> invention (see Sequeira's col 7, lines 7-8).

## Response to Amendment:

6. Applicant's arguments filed on July 24, 2003 with respect to <a href="Sequeira">Sequeira</a> have been fully considered but they are not deemed persuasive.

It is submitted that the rejection has been revised with the addition of new references set forth above to address applicant's concerns on the scheduled data capturing limitation and specific method of data translations in the current claims.

Applicant also asserts that the term "parameter" has different meaning in the claims compared to prior art.

The examiner is unable to find the alleged distinction in the present claims.

### Conclusion:

7. The references cited by the examiner on PTO-892 but not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is (703) 305-9597. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (703) 305-9678.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Teta). w

Art Unit 2154 10/16/03